

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

NOVO NORDISK A/S AND NOVO
NORDISK INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PLASTIC AND RECONSTRUCTIVE
SURGERY CENTER S.C.,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:24-cv-12788

AGREED MOTION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT BY CONSENT

Plaintiffs Novo Nordisk A/S and Novo Nordisk Inc. (“Novo Nordisk” or “Plaintiffs”), with the agreement of Defendant Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Center S.C. (“Defendant”), respectfully move this Court to enter a final judgment in this action in favor of Plaintiffs. In support of this motion, Plaintiffs state as follows:

1. Novo Nordisk filed this Complaint on December 12, 2024 (Dkt #1) alleging false advertising, unfair competition, and deceptive trade practices in violation of sections 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a), common law, and the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act.
2. The parties have agreed to a confidential settlement agreement to resolve Plaintiffs’ claims.
3. Attached as Exhibit A is Defendant’s signed consent to the proposed final judgment and permanent injunction (“Final Judgment”).

4. Upon entry of the Final Judgment, this matter will be resolved in full.

5. Under the Final Judgment, this Court retains jurisdiction solely for the purpose of enforcing the parties' settlement agreement, the Final Judgment, and as otherwise provided in the Final Judgment.¹

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the parties respectfully move this Court to enter the attached Final Judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and against Defendant.

DATED: May 13, 2025

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Suyash Agrawal

Suyash Agrawal
Hillary W. Coustan
Brigid Carmichael
MASSEY & GAIL LLP
50 E Washington St., Ste. 400
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 379-0949
sagrawal@masseygail.com
hcoustan@masseygail.com
bcarmichael@masseygail.com

/s/ Dean J. Caras

Dean J. Caras
CARAS LAW GROUP, P.C.
320 West Illinois St., Ste. 2312
Chicago, IL 60654
dean.caras@deancaras.com

¹ “When a court issues an injunction, it automatically retains jurisdiction to enforce it”. *Hyzy v. Baker*, No. 18-CV-5276, 2019 WL 2576533, at *4 (N.D. Ill. June 24, 2019) citing *United States v. Fisher*, 864 F.2d 434, 436 (7th Cir. 1988).